NILIM/BRI Joint Field Survey on the 2011 Off the Pacific coast of Tohoku Earthquake (the Great East Japan Earthquake)

National Institute for Land and Infrastructure Management (NILIM)

Building Research Institute (BRI)

## Introduction

Introduction

- The Great East Japan Earthquake, the magnitude ( $M_{\rm w}$ ) 9.0 undersea megathrust earthquake off the coast of Japan, occurred at 14:46 JST on Friday, 11<sup>th</sup> March 2011.
- This magnitude ranked fourth among the earthquakes in the world since 1900. (from U.S. Geological Survey)
- The earthquake triggered extremely destructive tsunami waves.

 In addition to loss of lives and destruction of buildings, the tsunami induced nuclear serious accidents in Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant, where the response activity is still in process.



a fr fu	NILIM and BRI jointly organized field survey teams in the ftermath of the Great East Japan Earthquake to learn lessons om structural damage states and then to reflect them on the uture acts for mitigation.
•	Total number of man-days for the surveys is more than 150.
• ir il	This presentation introduces typical damage to buildings iduced by both of seismic and tsunami effects, which is ustrated in the NILIM/BRI quick survey report.
(J.	apanese web site) tp://www.kenken.go.jp/japanese/contents/topics/20110311/0311quickreport.html
ht	tp://www.nilim.go.jp/lab/bbg/saigai/h23tohoku/index.html







### Seismic Response characteristics (2)

Spectrum characteristics in Sendai is similar to that in JMA Kobe recorded at 1995 Kobe earthquake.

The peak values of the spectrum recorded at several K-NET points is not so larger than those recorded at past great earthquakes.





countermeasures against long-period seismic motion on high-rise buildings for two months from last December.

## Typical seismic damage state – RC structure (1)

 It was found that damage degree of buildings designed by the old seismic design method is not so significant compared to the recorded seismic intensity (JMA) on the site.

 However, some suffered from major damage or story collapse mainly because of shear failure of columns.



### Typical seismic damage state – RC structure (2)

 It was observed that some local government buildings designed by the old seismic design suffered from significant structural damage. This kind of damage was mainly because axial load bearing capacity was totally lost following shear failure of short column.

They had been supposed to keep as much functionality as possible after an emergency like this disaster.



### Typical seismic damage state - RC structure (3)

- There were few examples of damage to RC buildings designed by the new seismic design method which is valid after 1981.
- Shear cracks in multi-story walls between openings were observed in some buildings.



## Typical seismic damage state – RC structure (4)

• Damage to non-structural elements such as exterior walls and windows was very common.

• Shear failure of non-structural walls next to the front door were also observed.







## Typical seismic damage state – timber structure (1)

• Earthquake damage of ground caused failure of continuous footing.

• Slope failure of mountain caused total collapse of timber building.













## Typical damage state – RC structure (1)

- Total collapse of first story was observed in the two-story RC
   building a first story was observed in the two-story RC
- buildings suffering from tsunami-induced effect. • When opening area in the second story was not so large, the
- building was subjected to more tsunami loads on the walls.







4



# Typical damage state – Steel structure (1)

• Turnover and drift of entire building following the fracture of exposed-type column base



# Typical damage state – Steel structure (2)

• Turnover and drift of entire building following the fracture of column capital

This type of damage was observed in the buildings whose columns have concrete encased base or imbedded type base.



### Typical damage state – Steel structure (3)

• Main columns and beams in some buildings are almost intact after all the external claddings were swept away. But they have residual deformation in columns.





## Typical damage state – Timber structure (2)

 If timber structures are located just behind a relative large-scale building, they were not swept away because of the decrease of direct tsunami effect on them.





### Conclusions

 It was observed that structural damage to buildings was not so significant on the whole even in the areas where seismic intensity of more than VI was recorded.

 This means that the current seismic design in BSLI is generally appropriate for the seismic-related damage mitigation.

• Most of the RC buildings suffering from seismic damage were found to be designed by the old seismic design method which had been valid until 1981.

• Damage to suspended ceilings in steel gymnasiums was observed.

The earthquake caused liquefaction of soil more extensively than the recent
earthquakes did.

 Typical types of tsunami-induced damage to buildings such as turn-over and sweptaway of entire structures were observed.

### Conclusions

• MLIT organizes comprehensive research projects for the development of countermeasures against:

#### 1) fall of suspended ceilings

- 2) liquefaction of soil
- 3) long-period seismic action on high rise buildings

4) tsunami-induced action on buildings in tsunami prone area

NILIM and BRI support these research projects all the way, providing information
obtained from the field surveys.

The 2011 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku Earthquake on March 11, 2011	http://iisee.kenken.go.jp/special2/ 20110311tohoku.htm
Bached * Constraints of the second	
BRI Strong, Motion Observation	http://smo.kenken.go.jp/smreport/ 201103111446
View to me the second sec	